

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/887,327	06/25/2001	Masayuki Koshino	2611-0150P 5947		
2292 75	590 10/22/2004		EXAMINER		
	VART KOLASCH &	BUI, BING Q			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
*			2642		
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
÷		09/887,3	27	KOSHINO, MASAYUKI				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Bing Q Bu		2642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>25 June 2001</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,5-8 and 11-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3,4,9 and 10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
3) M Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>Jun 25, 2001</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO	-152)			

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-13 are pending in the application for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Regarding claims 5, line 5 and claim 11, line 4, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Art Unit: 2642

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1-2, 5-8 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tanaka et al (US Pat No. 6,256,509), herein after referred as Tanaka.

Regarding claim 1, Tanaka teaches a system for contents distribution comprising:

a distribution station which distributes contents to a plurality of reception stations through wireless 5 communication charnels (see col. 2, lns 13-18 and col. 4, lns 50-59), wherein

said distribution station allocates, in response to distribution request for the contents from said reception stations, a broadcast channel for simultaneous distribution to all the contents reception stations, or an occupied channel individually set for each reception station as channels used for distribution of the contents to said reception station performing distribution request for the contents, according to the total number of other contents reception stations receiving the distribution of the contents (see col. 5, lns 31-38 and claim 8 on col. 17).

Regarding claim 2, Tanaka teaches the system for contents distribution according to claim 1, wherein said distribution station allocates a broadcast channel for simultaneous distribution to all the reception stations, or an occupied channel individually set for each reception station to each of said reception stations as channel used for distribution of the contents according to the total number of reception stations

Art Unit: 2642

receiving the distribution of the same contents (see col. 5, lns 31-38 and claim 8 on col. 17).

Regarding claim 5, Tanaka teaches the system for contents distribution according to claim 1, wherein said distribution station previously sets individual wireless communication channels respectively to all the reception stations, and notifies information on distribution to reception stations receiving the distribution of the contents, using said wireless communication: channels (see col. 3, Ins 36-50 and col. 12, Ins 1-7).

Regarding claim 6, Tanaka teaches the system for contents distribution according to claim 5, wherein said reception stations receive notification of said information on distribution, and set said allocated channels as channels receiving the distribution of the contents corresponding to the contents numbers; and receive the contents using said set charnels when it reaches the starting time for the contents distribution (see col. 3, lns 36-50 and col. 12, lns 1-7).

As to claim 13, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 1.

As to claims 7-8 and 11-12, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-2 and 5-6 above, since claims 7-8 and 11-12 are merely a method of operation for the system defined in the system claims 1-2 and 5-6, respectively.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3-4 and 9-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2642

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art in general:

U.S. Pat. No. 6,044,265

U.S. Pat. No. 6,256,508

U.S. Pat. No. 6,400,942

U.S. Pat. No. 6,516,191

U.S. Pat. No. 6,600,908

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bing Bui whose telephone number is (703) 308-5858. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 and for formal communications intended for entry (please label the response

EXPEDITED PROCEDURE) or for informal or draft communications not intended for entry (please label the response "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Oct 05, 2004

BING Q. BUI PRIMARY EXAMINER